RECEIVED AND FILED

Jun 13 1 40 PM '89

CAROL G. FITZGERALD

DEPUTY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF CERTAIN)
PROVISIONS OF LOCAL RULE 215(h) SPECI

SPECIAL ORDER NO. 70

On July 1, 1986, the United States District Court for the District of Nevada adopted the current Local Rules of Practice. Local Rule 215(h) states in part as follows:

"In all cases in which petitioner, movant or plaintiff is an inmate of a penal institution and desires to proceed in forma pauperis, in addition to the affidavit of poverty required by 28 U.S.C. §1915, he shall submit a certificate executed by an authorized officer of the institution in which he is confined which states the amount of money or securities on deposit to his credit in any account in the institu-...(L)eave to proceed in forma pauperis may be denied if the value of the money and securities in petitioner's, movant's or plaintiff's institutional account exceeds seventy-five dollars (\$75.00) or such other amount(s) as shall be determined by the court." (Emphasis added.)

Under the general provisions of the Judiciary Appropriations Act of 1987, which was signed into law by President Reagan on October 18, 1986, the filing fee for a civil case was increased from \$60.00 to \$120.00. Based upon the current language contained in Local Rule 215(h), this has created a situation where a prisoner who has more than \$75.00 dollars but less than \$120.00 in his prison account

could be denied leave to proceed in forma pauperis and yet not have sufficient funds available to pay the required filing fee for a civil complaint. In order to protect the rights of prisoners seeking to proceed in forma pauperis and pending formal amendment of Local Rule 215(h) by this Court,

ITIS HEREBY ORDERED that Court this determines that in the absence of exceptional circumstances, leave to proceed in forma pauperis may be denied to a plaintiff seeking to file a civil case if the value of the money and securities in plaintiff's institutional account exceeds \$200.00. This Special Order shall not apply to petitioners or movants seeking to file petitions for writs of habeas corpus or motions for post-conviction relief. such instances, the \$75.00 threshhold shall continue to apply.

Dated: 13 1989

EDWARD C. REED, JR.

Chief United States District
Judge

eld.

LLOYD D. GEØRGE

United States District Judge

Loward Metalle

HOWARD D. McKIBBEN

United States District Judge

PHILIP M. PRO

United States District Judge

26